

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,919	11/21/2003	Ki-hyun Kim	1793.1078	7566	
49455 STEIN, MCEV	7590 09/10/2008 WEN & BUI, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STI		TORRES, JOSEPH D			
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,919	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph D. Torres	2112		
Joseph D. Torres	2112		

Jos	seph D. Torres	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods: 	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). O 	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wi	hich the netition under 37 CFR 1.13	36(a) and the annronriate	extension fee				
Learnstot of little riley to located under 2 of VT 1. 1049, it is due to wind under peason local SCR 1. 1749, or in exploying exhauston fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration add or of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any serined patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within 	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
 They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or 	orm for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	esponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	nnliant Amendment (I	OTOL -324)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be allowa non-allowable claim(s).	ble if submitted in a separate, ti	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) very how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 7-10 and 28-31.		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but bef because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suf was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overc showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and 	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	es NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC 13. Other:	D/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
····							
	/Joseph D. Torres/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2112					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant contends, "Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter by not explicitly excluding "carrier waves" as an example of a computer-readable medium. However, under this reasoning, the Examiner could request the Applicants to include a laundry list of hundreds or thousands of items that are not computer-readable media or are not some other type of device or apparatus. The carrier wave was amended out of the specification as an example of the computer-readable medium, and there is no longer any language in the specification that includes the carrier wave as an example of a computer-readable medium,

The Examiner disagrees and asserts that the deletion of carrier wave does not amend carrier wave out of the specification in view of the fact that the specification still recites, not limited to storage media such as magnetic storage media "(indicating that the Applicant intends "to include a laundry list of hyndreds or thousands of items" that are comouter-readable media).